


# Feedback on the Programme Accreditation Process

 Enable Immersive Reader

This survey is being conducted to collect feedback with regard to the Programme Accreditation process. We kindly ask you to participate in this survey given that your entity recently went through this process.

Please be assured that your responses are anonymous and that the data will only be used for information purposes and retained in accordance with GDPR regulations.

We are looking forward to receiving your feedback.

\* Required

1. Was the programme submitted for accreditation classified as an: \*

Award

Qualification

This question is required.

2. Was the programme submitted for accreditation from MQF Level 1 to 4? \*

Yes

No


This question is required.

3. Was the programme submitted for accreditation from MQF Level 5 to 8? \*

Yes

No

This question is required.

 Enable Immersive Reader

4. In what role were you involved during the accreditation process of the programme submitted for accreditation? \*

Writing of the programme application

Amending the programme application following the evaluation report

Other

This question is required.

5. If you selected Other in question 4, kindly specify the role you had during the accreditation process.

Enter your answer

6. What was the outcome of the programme you submitted for accreditation? \*

Failed Accreditation

Approved for accreditation


Withdrawn from provider's end

This question is required.

7. The application form for programme accreditation provided a clear indication of what is required. \*

Strongly agree

- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Neutral

 Enable Immersive Reader

This question is required.

8. The evaluation report provided valuable feedback about the programme. \*

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Neutral

This question is required.


9. The evaluator/s recommendations and suggestions were relevant. \*

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Neutral

This question is required.

10. The evaluator/s recommendations and suggestions were clear. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Neutral

 Enable Immersive Reader

This question is required.

11. The evaluator/s recommendations and suggestions were meaningful. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Neutral


This question is required.

12. The Evaluation Report was clearly written and logically organised. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Neutral

This question is required.

 Enable Immersive Reader

13. Adequate time was allocated for the amendments of the programme after every evaluation cycle. \*

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Neutral

This question is required.

14. How would you rate the MFHEA representatives' performance during the programme accreditation process on a scale from 1 to 5 for each of the following criteria? \*

Responsiveness and timeliness

1

2

3

4

5

This question is required.

15. How would you rate the MFHEA representatives' performance during the programme accreditation process on a scale from 1 to 5 for the following criteria? \*

Collaboration

1

2

3


4

5

This question is required.

16. How would you rate the MFHEA representatives' performance during the programme accreditation process on a scale from 1 to 5 for the following criteria? \*

Support and guidance

 Enable Immersive Reader

1

2

3

4

5

This question is required.

17. How would you rate the MFHEA representatives' performance during the programme accreditation process on a scale from 1 to 5 for the following criteria? \*

Overall support

1

2

3

4

5

This question is required.

18. What aspects of the programme accreditation did you find most challenging?  
Please elaborate.


Enter your answer

19. Were there aspects of the programme accreditation that you were particularly pleased with?

Enter your answer

20. Do you have any recommendations on how the programme accreditation process can be improved?

Enter your answer

 Enable Immersive Reader

21. What is your overall feedback on the programme accreditation process?

\*

- Unsatisfied
- Satisfied
- Very satisfied
- Neutral

This question is required.

22. Additional Comments:

Enter your answer

17 question(s) need to be completed before submitting: Question 1,Question 2,Question 3,Question 4,Question 6,Question 7,Question 8,Question 9,Question 10,Question 11,Question 12,Question 13,Question 14,Question 15,Question 16,Question 17,Question 21.

Never give out your password. [Report abuse](#)

This content is created by the owner of the form. The data you submit will be sent to the form owner. Microsoft is not responsible for the privacy or security practices of its customers, including those of this form owner. Never give out your password.

Powered by Microsoft Forms |

The owner of this form has not provided a privacy statement as to how they will use your response data. Do not provide personal or sensitive information.

| [Terms of use](#)